

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING DATE                            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/990,133         | 11/21/2001                             | Petri Boman          | 915-400             | 2111             |
| 4955<br>WARE FRESS | 7590 02/07/200<br>SOLA VAN DER SLUY    | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| ADOLPHSON          | I, LLP                                 | PHAM, TUAN           |                     |                  |
|                    | GREEN, BUILDING 5<br>REET, P O BOX 224 | •                    | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| MONROE, CT         | •                                      |                      | 2618                |                  |
|                    |  |                      |                     |                  |
|                    | •                                      |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                    |  |                      | 02/07/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 09/990,133      | BOMAN ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
| TUAN A. PHAM    | 2618         |  |

|  | TUAN A. PHAM  | 2618   |                                |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add                            | ress                           |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED on 01/08/2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP   | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A  | LLOWANCE.                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:   | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3) |  |  |  |  |
| a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date   | e of the final rejection.   | ,  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN THE PROJECT OF THE FINAL PROJECT OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN THE FIRST WAS FILED WITHIN THE FIRST WAS FILED WITHIN THE FIRST WAS FILED WITHIN THE |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee   |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL   | cliamae with 27 CED 44 27 must be   | filed within two month                       | an of the data of              |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).   |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   | hut wise to the date of filing a brief  | will not be entered b                        | 0001100                        |  |  |  |  |
| 3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);   |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  |   | ducing or simplifying                        | the issues for                 |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co   | mpliant Amendment                            | (PTOL-324).                    |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s  | ):  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  | ⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi<br>vided below or appended.                 | II be entered and an                         | explanation of                 |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10-21 and 25-29</u> .  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   | •  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   | d sufficient reasons why the affidat  | vit or other evidence i                      | s necessary and                |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal</li> </ol>  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe                                     | al and/or appellant fa                       | ils to provide a               |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | on of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attac                       | hed.                           |  |  |  |  |
| 11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by   | ut does NOT place the application i   | n condition for allowa                       | nce because:                   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>See attachement.</li><li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li></ul>  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Other:   |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | •  |                                |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant proposed amendment to independent claims 1, and 28, filed on 01/08/2006 in response to final Office Action mailed on 11/07/2006. The amendments changed the scopes of the claims, which required further search and consideration with new ground rejection.

MATTHEW ANDERSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER